# Brown University Spring 2025 Course Feedback



Course:Spring2025ECON2020S01: ECON2020 Spring25 S01 Applied Economics Analysis-S01Instructor:Toru KitagawaTA:Ruchi Mahadeshwar,Matthew DeHaven \*

**Response Rate:** 15/19 (78.95 %)

## 1 - My teaching assistant (Matthew DeHaven):

was consistently pro	was consistently prepared.																		
Response Option			١	Neight	eight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means														
Strongly Agree				(5)	13		86.67%					4.8	37	4.6	50	4.0	61	4.	59
Agree				(4)	2		13.33%												
Neutral				(3)	0		0.00%												
Disagree				(2)	0		0.00%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0		0.00%	1											
N/A				(0)	0		0.00%	1											
						•		0	25	50	100	Que	stion	Brown L	Iniversity	Div	ision	Depa	rtment
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University		Mean	STD	Median		Divisior	1	Mean	STD	Median	Depar	tment	Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)	4.87	0.35	5.00	12	526	4.60	0.71	5.00		3433		4.61	0.70	5.00	13	93	4.59	0.72	5.00

### 1 - My teaching assistant (Matthew DeHaven):

effectively engaged s	effectively engaged students (e.g. encouraged student participation; was responsive to questions; offered opportunities for discussion in pairs or small groups															roups).			
Response Option	Perc	ent R	espon	ses	Means														
Strongly Agree				(5)	11	7	73.33%					4.7	73	4.5	55	4.	56	4.	55
Agree				(4)	4	2	26.67%												
Neutral				(3)	0		0.00%	1											
Disagree				(2)	0		0.00%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0		0.00%	1											
N/A				(0)	0		0.00%												
								0	25	50	100	Que	stion	Brown L	Iniversity	Div	ision	Depa	rtment
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown l	wn University		STD	Median	1	Divisior	1	Mean	STD	Median	Depar	tment	Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)	4.73	0.46	5.00	12	490	4.55	0.77	5.00		3428		4.56	0.77	5.00	13	91	4.55	0.77	5.00

1 - My teaching assis	1 - My teaching assistant (Matthew DeHaven):																	
was responsive to s	tudents (	e.g. was	s availab	le durin	g office l	nours; v	vas resp	onsive	to questions; v	/as re	espor	nsive to	o reques	ts to me	et).			
Response Option			١	Weight	Frequer	iency Percent Percent Responses Means												
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	1	30.00%				4.8	0	4.6	50	4.0	62	4.	61
Agree				(4)	3	:	20.00%											
Neutral				(3)	0		0.00%											
Disagree				(2)	0		0.00%											
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0		0.00%											
N/A				(0)	0		0.00%											
							0	25 50 10	D	Ques	tion	Brown L	Iniversity	Div	ision	Depa	rtment	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown I	Jniversity	Mean	STD	Median	Division	Me	ean	STD	Median	Depar	tment	Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)	4.80	0.41	5.00	12	2493	4.60	0.71	5.00	5.00 3424		4.62 0.70		5.00	1385		4.61	0.69	5.00

### 1 - My teaching assistant (Matthew DeHaven):

made content clear	made content clear and understandable.																		
Response Option			,	Weight	Veight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means														
Strongly Agree				(5)	12		80.00%					4.8	10	4.5	53	4.	55	4.	54
Agree				(4)	3		20.00%												
Neutral				(3)	0		0.00%												
Disagree				(2)	0		0.00%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0		0.00%	1											
N/A				(0)	0		0.00%												
							0	25	50	100	Que	stion	Brown L	Iniversity	Div	ision	Depa	artment	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University Me		Mean	STD	Median	D	ivision		Mean	STD	Median	Depar	tment	Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)	4.80	0.41	5.00	12	2508	4.53	0.79	5.00		3427		4.55	0.79	5.00	13	92	4.54	0.78	5.00

## Brown University Spring 2025 Course Feedback



Course:	Spring2025ECON2020S01: ECON2020 Spring25 S01 Applied Economics Analysis-S01
Instructor:	Toru Kitagawa
TA:	Ruchi Mahadeshwar, Matthew DeHaven *
Response Rate:	15/19 (78.95 %)

1 - My teaching assistant (Matthew DeHaven):																				
provided clear feed	back on a	ssignm	ents tha	t improv	ved my le	arning.														
Response Option			N	Neight	Frequer	ncy I	Percent	ercent Percent Responses Means												
Strongly Agree				(5)	11		78.57%				-4.7	77	4.5	51	4.	51	4.	54		
Agree				(4)	1		7.14%													
Neutral				(3)	1		7.14%													
Disagree				(2)	0		0.00%	1												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0		0.00%													
N/A				(0)	1		7.14%													
								0	25 50	100	Que	stion	Brown L	Iniversity	Div	ision	Depa	rtment		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown l	Brown University Me		STD	Median	Division		Mean	STD	Median	Depar	tment	Mean	STD	Median		
14/19 (73.68%)	4.77	0.60	5.00	12	477	4.51	0.82	5.00	3414		4.51	0.84	5.00	13	81	4.54	0.79	5.00		

1 - My teaching assistant (Matthew DeHaven):																				
Overall, I rate this te	aching a	ssistan	t as effec	ctive.																
Response Option			١	Weight	Frequen	icy I	Percent	Percent Percent Responses Means												
Strongly Agree				(5)	12		80.00%				4.	80	4.	56	4.5	57	4.	57		
Agree				(4)	3		20.00%													
Neutral				(3)	0		0.00%	1												
Disagree				(2)	0		0.00%	1												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0		0.00%	1												
N/A				(0)	0		0.00%													
								0	25 50	100	Que	estion	Brown L	Jniversity	Divi	sion	Depa	irtment		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	edian Brown University		Mean	STD	Median	Div	sion	Mean	STD	Median	Departi	ment	Mean	STD	Median		
15/19 (78.95%)	4.80	0.41	5.00	12	409	4.56	0.75	5.00	33	90	4.57	0.76	5.00	137	8	4.57	0.74	5.00		

### 2 - What has been particularly effective about Matthew DeHaven's approach to teaching in this course?

Response Rate 5/19 (26.32%)

• Matt was an extremely clear and engaging lecturer. He designed the course to be extremely applicable to our work, and every assignment helped to reinforce the skills and concepts we learned in class. The course was super organized and with accessible material that we can refer back to in our applied work. The lectures included a lot of practical examples and demonstrations of the skills we were learning, including hands-on coding practice. I appreciated the daily class feedback forms. He was also very welcoming and non-judgmental of questions, and always was able to answer them. I would 100% recommend this course and instructor to other students. I learned a lot!

• Matt is always supremely well prepared and organizes content thoughtfully for students of many levels of background experience.

• Taught the course. Very well prepared.

• Matt designed the course to target all learning styles and provide us many opportunities to practice our learnings. The feedback forms after each class and weekly assignments were very beneficial, especially the ones that allowed us to review and get feedback from our peers. It was also a great idea to invite guest experts in certain topics. It was evident that Matt cared about the class and his teaching style. He was also great at directing us to the right sources.

• Matthew was always very well prepared and it showed he was very passionate about teaching and what he was teaching, which definitely was very motivating

#### 3 - What specific advice would you have for Matthew DeHaven about changes that would enhance your learning?

#### Response Rate 4/19 (21.05%)

• More in-class supervised coding exercises, and more challenging tasks therein, would have helped concretize concepts.

No notes.

• Matt's teaching and care for the class were great, I hope he continues to have this approach. He would sometimes share an overall feedback for the class. I think it would be beneficial if he communicated some of the expectations at the beginning of the term and ensured better that his feedback reaches the right audience.

· Nothing, everything was great!